

S/N: 10/758,563

Reply to Office Action of November 4, 2005

Atty Dkt No. LEAR 0660 r

Remarks

Claims 1-44, 46-56 and 58-69 were pending in the application. Claims 1-44, 46-56 and 58-68 stand allowed, and claim 69 stands rejected. By this paper, claim 69 has been canceled without prejudice to put the application in condition for allowance. Furthermore, Applicants reserve the right to pursue additional claims, such as a claim that is the same as or similar to claim 69, in a continuation re-issue application.

Conclusion

Applicants have made a genuine effort to respond to each of the Examiner's objections and rejections in advancing the prosecution of this case. Applicants believe that all formal and substantive requirements for patentability have been met and that this case is in condition for allowance, which action is respectfully requested. If any additional issues need to be resolved, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at his earliest convenience.

Respectfully submitted,

Adam J. Canni et al.

By 

Mark E. Stuenkel

Reg. No. 44,364

Attorney/Agent for Applicant

Date: *February 6, 2006*

BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.
1000 Town Center, 22nd Floor
Southfield, MI 48075-1238
Phone: 248-358-4400
Fax: 248-358-3351